

6th August 2021

FINAL RESULTS

uComms conducted a survey of 632 residents across the federal electorate of Braddon on behalf of The Australia Institute during the nights of 4th and 5th of August 2021 using self-completed automated voice methodologies.

Question 1:

If a Federal Election were to be held today, which of the following would receive your first preference vote in the House of Representatives (lower house)?

	Total	Female	Male	18 – 34	35 – 50	51 - 65	65+
Liberal	37.7%	33.8%	41.9%	33.1%	27.6%	41.1%	53.8%
Labor	34.2%	34.5%	34.0%	34.4%	37.0%	35.1%	28.5%
The Greens	5.9%	5.8%	5.9%	3.3%	9.4%	4.2%	5.4%
Pauline Hanson's One Nation	3.5%	1.8%	5.3%	0.0%	5.0%	4.8%	3.1%
Independent / Other	10.5%	11.6%	9.2%	11.9%	16.0%	8.3%	4.6%
Undecided	8.2%	12.5%	3.6%	17.2%	5.0%	6.5%	4.6%

2 Party Preferred

	Total
Liberal	48%
Labor	52%

Note: Undecided voters allocated by Q1a and preferences distributed as per Q1b

Question 1a:

Being undecided to which of the following do you have even a slight leaning

	Total
Liberal	21.6%
Labor	11.8%
The Greens	2.4%
Pauline Hanson's One Nation	0.0%
Independent / Other	75.6%

Note: Only respondents who answered "Undecided" in Question 1 were asked Question 1a

Question 1b:

Which of the following two parties would you preference higher?

	Total
Liberal	27.0%
Labor	73.0%

Note: Only respondents who didn't answer 'Labor' or 'Liberal' Question 1 and 1a were included in Question 1b

Question 2:

A Commonwealth Integrity Commission or National Anti-Corruption Commission would investigate corruption within federal law enforcement, the public service, service providers, contractors, federal parliamentarians and their staff.

Do you support or oppose setting up a Commonwealth Integrity Commission?

	<i>Total</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>18 – 34</i>	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
<i>Strongly support</i>	62.0%	59.5%	64.7%	46.7%	67.8%	65.5%	66.9%
<i>Support</i>	25.4%	29.0%	21.5%	32.2%	22.4%	22.6%	25.4%
<i>Oppose</i>	4.9%	3.0%	6.9%	8.6%	4.4%	4.2%	2.3%
<i>Strongly oppose</i>	2.2%	1.5%	3.0%	3.9%	2.2%	1.8%	0.8%
<i>Unsure / Don't know</i>	5.5%	7.0%	4.0%	8.6%	3.3%	6.0%	4.6%

	<i>Total</i>	<i>LIB</i>	<i>LAB</i>	<i>GRE</i>	<i>ONP</i>	<i>OTH</i>	<i>UND</i>
<i>Strongly support</i>	62.0%	51.7%	74.5%	62.2%	72.7%	55.4%	63.5%
<i>Support</i>	25.4%	32.4%	18.5%	29.7%	13.6%	38.5%	7.7%
<i>Oppose</i>	4.9%	8.0%	1.9%	8.1%	13.6%	1.5%	0.0%
<i>Strongly oppose</i>	2.2%	2.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.9%
<i>Unsure / Don't know</i>	5.5%	5.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	26.9%

Question 3:

If there is a Commonwealth Integrity Commission, should it have the power to hold public hearings?

	<i>Total</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>18 – 34</i>	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
Yes	81.8%	83.2%	80.3%	75.5%	89.0%	82.2%	77.9%
No	5.2%	4.0%	6.6%	7.3%	4.9%	4.1%	4.6%
Unsure / Don't know	13.0%	12.8%	13.2%	17.2%	6.0%	13.6%	17.6%

	<i>Total</i>	<i>LIB</i>	<i>LAB</i>	<i>GRE</i>	<i>ONP</i>	<i>OTH</i>	<i>UND</i>
Yes	81.8%	71.5%	86.1%	71.1%	82.6%	98.5%	94.2%
No	5.2%	5.4%	4.2%	21.1%	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unsure / Don't know	13.0%	23.0%	9.7%	7.9%	0.0%	1.5%	5.8%

Question 4:

If there is a Commonwealth Integrity Commission, should it have the power to receive and act on whistle-blower complaints?

	<i>Total</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>18 – 34</i>	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
Yes	75.5%	75.0%	76.0%	54.3%	83.0%	82.8%	79.4%
No	10.4%	10.4%	10.5%	28.5%	2.7%	5.3%	6.9%
Unsure / Don't know	14.1%	14.6%	13.5%	17.2%	14.3%	11.8%	13.7%

	<i>Total</i>	<i>LIB</i>	<i>LAB</i>	<i>GRE</i>	<i>ONP</i>	<i>OTH</i>	<i>UND</i>
Yes	75.5%	69.7%	77.2%	81.1%	77.3%	92.4%	69.2%
No	10.4%	10.5%	9.3%	13.5%	13.6%	0.0%	25.0%
Unsure / Don't know	14.1%	19.7%	13.5%	5.4%	9.1%	7.6%	5.8%

This survey was conducted using multi-modal survey system among 632 voters. Telephone numbers and the person within the household were selected at random. The results have been weighted by gender, age and state population to reflect the population according to ABS figures. Please note that due to rounding, not all tables necessarily total 100% and subtotals may also vary. Copyright uCommunications Pty Ltd.

Methodology Disclosure Statement - Short

Short Methodology Statement	
Research conducted by	<i>uCommunications Pty Ltd – T/A uComms</i>
Commissioned by	<i>The Australia Institute</i>
End client	<i>The Australia Institute</i>
Fieldwork dates	<i>4th and 5th August 2021</i>
Mode of data collection	<i>Automated telephone poll</i>
Target population	<i>Australian eligible voters</i>
Sample size	<i>632</i>
Australian Polling Council (APC) Compliant	<i>Yes</i>
URL of Long Methodology Disclosure Statement	<i>www.uCommsapc.info</i>
Voter intention published	<i>Yes</i>

Methodology Disclosure Statement - Long

Long Methodology Statement	
Effective sample size after weighting applied	<i>543</i>
Margin of Error associated with effective sample size	<i>+/- 4.2%</i>
Variables used in weighting	<i>Age X Gender X Federal seat population Distribution</i>
Weighting method used	<i>Ranked weighting</i>
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	<i>See within attached</i>
Proportion of landline/mobile completed samples	<i>67% Mobile 33% Landline</i>
Source of Sample	<i>Households selected at random within the Braddon Federal Electorate</i>
Positioning of voter intention questions within survey	<i>First question after intro</i>
Undecided voter handling	<i>Respondents who answered “Undecided” were then asked a leaning question</i>
2pp calculation method	<i>Respondent allocated preferences</i>