

## Stage 3 tax cuts and election promises - Disclosure

### Short disclosure statement

Research company	The Australia Institute
Client commissioning the research	NA
End client	NA
Fieldwork dates	23 January 2024 to 29 January 2024
Mode of data collection	Online recruited from research panel
Target population	Australian adults aged 18+
Sample size	1,017
Australian Polling Council compliant	Yes
Voting intention published	Yes
Long disclosure statement	See below

### Long disclosure statement

Effective sample size after weighting applied	1004
Margin of error associated with effective sample size	±3%
Variables used in weighting	Age, gender, state or territory based on Australian Bureau of Statistics <a href="#">"National, state and territory population"</a> data
Gender identity categorisation	Those who answered the gender identity question as "Non-binary", "I use a different term", or "Prefer not to answer" had their responses included with females for the purpose of reporting, due to constraints from weighting data availability
Weighting method used	Raking method
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	See below
Source of online sample	Dynata's online panel
Positioning of voting intention questions in questionnaire	Immediately after demographics, before policy questions
How were undecided voters handled?	Respondents who answered "Don't know / Not sure" for voting intention were then asked a leaning question; these leanings are included in voting intention crosstabs
Method of calculating ZPP	NA
Voting intention categorisation	Voting crosstabs show voting intentions for the House of Representatives. "Coalition" includes separate responses for Liberal and National. "Other" refers to Independent/Other, and minor parties in cases where they were included in the voting intention but represent too small a sample to be reported separately in the crosstabs
Location results	Results are shown only for larger states



## Detailed results

No preceding questions in the poll are expected to have influenced the results of the questions published here.

**Would you support or oppose the Labor Government repealing the Stage 3 income tax cuts?**

- **Strongly support**
- **Support**
- **Oppose**
- **Strongly oppose**
- **Don't know / Not sure**

There was a standalone prompt preceding this question which read:

**The Stage 3 income tax cuts will cost the budget \$319 billion over the next 10 years and will mostly benefit high income earners.**

**Of the following options, which would you prefer?**

Response options were presented in random order.

- **Keep the Stage 3 tax cuts in their current form**
- **Restructure the Stage 3 tax cuts so middle and low-income earners receive more**
- **Scrap the Stage 3 tax cuts**
- **Don't know / Not sure**

**Some have called for the Labor Government to repeal or restructure the Stage 3 income tax cuts because economic circumstances have changed since they were legislated. During the election Labor committed to keep the tax cuts. Which do you think is more important?**

Response options were presented in random order.

- **Keeping an election promise regardless of how economic circumstances have changed**
- **Adapting economic policy to suit the changing circumstances even if that means breaking an election promise**
- **Don't know / Not sure**