## FINAL RESULTS

uComms conducted a survey of 1174 residents across Tasmania on behalf of The Australia Institute during the evening of 04-05 March 24 using self-completed automated voice and SMS polling methodologies.

Question:
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
"The Tasmanian Integrity Commission should be replaced with a new, fit for purpose anti-corruption commission, with stronger mechanisms to investigate misconduct and corruption in public administration?"
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Question:
The Tasmanian Parliamentary Inquiry into salmon farming recommended reducing inshore salmon farming sites, with priority given to stopping operations in sensitive, sheltered, and biodiverse areas.

Do you support or oppose this recommendation?

|  | Total | Male | Female | $18-34$ | $35-50$ | $51-65$ | $60+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | $45.3 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ |
| Support | $23.7 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ |
| Oppose | $14.7 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $6.9 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $9.4 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | LAB | LIB | GRE | JLN | IND | OTH |
| Strongly support | $45.3 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| Support | $23.7 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Oppose | $14.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $6.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $9.4 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ |

## Question:

In 2012, native forests in Blue Tier, takayna/Tarkine and Ben Lomond were protected as part of the Tasmanian Forest Agreement "peace deal" between the logging industry and conservation groups.

The Liberal Government has announced that if it is re-elected, it will make 40,000 hectares of native forest available for logging.

To what extent do you support or oppose logging these native forests?

|  | Total | Male | Female | $18-34$ | $35-50$ | $51-65$ | $60+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | $20.2 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| Support | $16.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| Oppose | $18.7 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $38.4 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $5.8 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |


|  | Total | LAB | LIB | GRE | JLN | IND | OTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | $20.2 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Support | $16.9 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ |
| Oppose | $18.7 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $38.4 \%$ | $47.1 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $5.8 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
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Question:
Would you support or oppose a ban on rent increases above the rate of inflation?

|  | Total | Male | Female | $18-34$ | $35-50$ | $51-65$ | $60+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | $35.4 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ |
| Support | $22.9 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| Oppose | $19.6 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $12.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $9.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | LAB | LIB | GRE | JLN | IND | OTH |
| Strongly support | $35.4 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ | $40.5 \%$ |
| Support | $22.9 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Oppose | $19.6 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $12.2 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $9.9 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |

Australian
Polling Council
Quality Mark

Question:
Would you support or oppose banning political donations from the gambling industry, salmon farming industry and property developers?

|  | Total | Male | Female | $18-34$ | $35-50$ | $51-65$ | $60+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | $41.3 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| Support | $16.0 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| Oppose | $18.4 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $11.7 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $12.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |


|  | Total | LAB | LIB | GRE | JLN | IND | OTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly support | $41.3 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |
| Support | $16.0 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Oppose | $18.4 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | $11.7 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Don't know / Not sure | $12.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
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Methodology Disclosure Statement - Short

| Rhort Methodology Statement | uCommunications Pty Ltd - T/A uComms |
| :---: | :---: |
| Commissioned by | The Australia Institute |
| End client | The Australia Institute |
| Fieldwork dates | $04-05$ March 2024 |
| Mode of data collection | Automated SMS and telephone poll |
| Target population | Australian eligible voters |
| Sample size | 1174 |
| Australian Polling Council (APC) Compliant | Yes |
| URL of Long Methodology Disclosure |  |
| Statement | www.ucommsapc.info |
| Voter intention published | Yes |

Methodology Disclosure Statement - Long

| Long Methodology Statement |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Effective sample size after weighting applied | 1022 |
| Margin of Error associated with effective <br> sample size | $+/-3.85 \%$ |
| Variables used in weighting | TAS population distribution (Age \& Gender) |
| Weighting method used | Ranked weighting |
| Full question text, responses categories and <br> randomisation | See within attached |
| Proportion of landline/mobile completed <br> samples | 79\% Mobile 21\% Landline |
| Source of Sample | Households selected at random across |
| Tasmania |  |

