# Right to Disconnect - disclosure

#### **Short disclosure statement**

Research company	Dynata
Client commissioning the research	The Australia Institute
End client	NA
Fieldwork dates	23 to 29 January 2024
Mode of data collection	Online recruited from research panel
Target population	Australian adults aged 18+
Sample size	1,017
Australian Polling Council compliant	Yes
Voting intention published	No
Long disclosure statement	See below

#### Long disclosure statement

Effective sample size after weighting applied	1,004
Margin of error associated with effective sample size	±3%
Variables used in weighting	Age, gender, state or territory based on Australian Bureau of
	Statistics "National, state and territory population" data
Gender identity categorisation	Those who answered the gender identity question as "Non-binary",
	"I use a different term", or "Prefer not to answer" had their
	responses included with females for the purpose of reporting, due
	to constraints from weighting data availability
Weighting method used	Raking method
Full question text, responses categories and	See below
randomisation	
Source of online sample	Dynata's online panel
Positioning of voting intention questions in	Immediately after demographics, before policy questions
questionnaire	
How were undecided voters handled?	Respondents who answered "Don't know / Not sure" for voting
	intention were then asked a leaning question; these leanings are
	included in voting intention crosstabs
Method of calculating 2PP	NA
Voting intention categorisation	Voting crosstabs show voting intentions for the House of
	Representatives "Coalition" includes separate responses for Liberal
	and National. "Other" refers to Independent/Other, and minor
	parties in cases where they were included in the voting intention
	but represent too small a sample to be reported separately in the
	crosstabs
Location results	Results are shown only for larger states



## **Detailed results**

Preceding questions in the poll are expected to have influenced the results of the questions published here. The questions, and the response options for each question, are as follows:

Do you think that the Fair Work Commission should be able to set minimum hourly pay and some minimum standards of work for the following types of workers?

Response options: Should be able, Should not be able, Don't know/Not sure

- 1. Shop assistants
- 2. Drivers for rideshare services like Uber
- 3. People paid to deliver parcels or meals
- 4. Truck drivers using company-owned trucks
- 5. Truck drivers using their own trucks
- 6. Aged care or disability service workers working in care facilities
- 7. Aged care or disability service workers working in people's houses
- 8. Self-employed tradespeople (such as plumbers or electricians)

Paid sick leave, paid annual leave and minimum notice are only provided to workers with permanent jobs and not to casual employees (who work with no guarantee of regular or continuing hours).

Which, if any, of the following do you think casual employees should be entitled to receive?

Response options: Entitled, Not entitled, Don't know/Not sure

- 1. Paid leave in case of sickness
- 2. Paid annual leave
- 3. Minimum notice of job termination or dismissal

Some labour advocates have proposed a "right to disconnect" for workers. Generally, this refers to rules protecting workers from being interrupted by work during their non-work hours.

If workers in Australia had a "right to disconnect" what would be the most important aspects for you?

Response options: select all that apply

- 1. Leaving work on time
- 2. Not being contacted on the weekend
- 3. Not being contacted while on leave (for example annual or sick leave)
- 4. Not being required to work on weekends
- 5. None of the above
- 6. Don't know/Not sure

In recent years various Australian unions, including the Police Association Victoria, have negotiated a "right to disconnect" from work. This directs employers to avoid contacting workers outside of work hours, unless in an emergency.

Would you support or oppose the Federal Government legislating a similar "right to disconnect" nationally?

### Response options:

- 1. Strongly support
- 2. Support
- 3. Oppose
- 4. Strongly oppose
- 5. Don't know/Not sure