



19th March 2025

uComms conducted a survey of 860 members across the Federal Electorate of Sydney on behalf of The Australia Institute during the evening of $17^{th} - 18^{th}$ March 2025 using a self-completed and openended automated SMS and voice polling methodology.

FINAL RESULTS

Question 1:

If a federal election were to be held today, which of the following would be your first preference vote?

	Total	Male	Female	18 – 34	<i>35 – 50</i>	51 - 65	65+
Tanya Plibersek (Labor)	41.1%	36.0%	46.5%	27.9%	47.6%	59.0%	57.0%
Luc Velez (Greens)	18.8%	11.0%	27.0%	22.7%	20.3%	13.3%	6.3%
Liberal Party	16.0%	22.6%	9.0%	16.1%	11.8%	18.1%	24.1%
Rachel Evans (Socialist Alliance)	3.6%	4.8%	2.3%	5.8%	2.4%	0.0%	1.3%
Independent / Other	7.0%	8.3%	5.6%	7.6%	8.0%	4.8%	5.1%
Don't know / Not sure	13.5%	17.2%	9.6%	20.0%	9.9%	4.8%	6.3%

2 Party Preferred

	Total
Tanya Plibersek (Labor)	66%
Luc Velez (Greens)	34%

Note: Undecided voters allocated by Q1a and preferences distributed as per Q1b





Question 1a:

Being undecided, to which of the following do you have even a slight leaning?

	Total
Tanya Plibersek (Labor)	16.9%
Luc Velez (Greens)	3.9%
Liberal Party	3.9%
Rachel Evans (Socialist Alliance)	1.3%
Independent / Other	74.0%

Note: Only respondents who answered "Undecided" in Question 1 were asked Question 1a ${\it All \ response \ options \ rotated}.$





Question 1b:

If you had to choose, which of the following would you preference higher?

	Total
Tanya Plibersek (Labor)	56.9%
Luc Velez (Greens)	43.1%

All response options rotated.





Question 2:

Do you approve or disapprove of the job that Tanya Plibersek is doing as federal environment minister?

	Total	Male	Female	18 – 34	35 – 50	51 - 65	65+
Strongly approve	23.2%	24.2%	22.1%	19.4%	19.4%	32.0%	35.1%
Approve	31.4%	27.6%	35.3%	25.2%	37.8%	35.0%	32.4%
Disapprove	17.6%	13.8%	21.5%	23.4%	14.4%	11.0%	14.9%
Strongly disapprove	15.8%	20.6%	11.0%	21.2%	11.4%	13.0%	10.8%
Don't know / Not sure	12.0%	13.8%	10.1%	10.8%	16.9%	9.0%	6.8%

	Total	LAB	GRE	LIB	SOC AL	IND/OTH	UND
Strongly approve	23.2%	35.7%	10.7%	12.8%	4.8%	25.0%	11.1%
Approve	31.4%	43.8%	23.7%	11.7%	38.1%	19.4%	25.0%
Disapprove	17.6%	9.4%	32.8%	19.1%	42.9%	25.0%	11.1%
Strongly disapprove	15.8%	3.4%	22.9%	47.9%	9.5%	13.9%	15.3%
Don't know / Not sure	12.0%	7.7%	9.9%	8.5%	4.8%	16.7%	37.5%





Question 3:

Have you heard about the mass fish deaths currently happening in the salmon industry in Tasmania?

	Total	Male	Female	18 – 34	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
Yes	63.4%	67.7%	59.1%	65.1%	55.8%	66.7%	71.2%
No	30.7%	26.6%	34.7%	30.1%	38.6%	26.3%	17.8%
Don't know / Not sure	5.9%	5.6%	6.2%	4.8%	5.6%	7.1%	11.0%

	Total	LAB	GRE	LIB	SOC AL	IND/OTH	UND
Yes	63.4%	66.9%	64.3%	57.4%	95.2%	56.8%	46.2%
No	30.7%	26.0%	32.6%	40.4%	4.8%	29.7%	43.1%
Don't know / Not sure	5.9%	7.1%	3.1%	2.1%	0.0%	13.5%	10.8%





Question 4:

Tasmania's brand is often referred to as "clean and green".

What impact do you think the current mass fish deaths in the salmon industry in Tasmania are having on Tasmania's clean and green brand?

	Total	Male	Female	18 – 34	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
No impact	14.5%	20.5%	8.4%	16.8%	12.0%	14.6%	11.8%
A small negative impact	31.7%	34.0%	29.4%	27.7%	40.8%	27.1%	26.5%
A significant negative impact	36.3%	25.3%	47.3%	31.5%	35.6%	42.7%	47.1%
Don't know / Not sure	17.5%	20.2%	14.9%	23.9%	11.5%	15.6%	14.7%

	Total	LAB	GRE	LIB	SOC AL	IND/OTH	UND
No impact	14.5%	14.6%	3.3%	35.4%	5.0%	9.1%	14.8%
A small negative impact	31.7%	38.3%	33.1%	12.2%	30.0%	42.4%	14.8%
A significant negative impact	36.3%	35.9%	46.3%	25.6%	60.0%	30.3%	27.8%
Don't know / Not sure	17.5%	11.1%	17.4%	26.8%	5.0%	18.2%	42.6%





Question 5:

The Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area includes part of Macquarie Harbour, the only home of the endangered marine species, the Maugean skate, itself recognised for its world heritage value.

Do you support or oppose stopping salmon farming in areas where it is putting the endangered Maugean skate at risk of extinction?

	Total	Male	Female	18 – 34	<i>35 – 50</i>	<i>51 - 65</i>	<i>65+</i>
Strongly support	36.5%	34.9%	38.1%	33.6%	36.8%	40.4%	39.1%
Support	24.5%	21.4%	27.8%	20.9%	28.7%	24.5%	25.0%
Oppose	11.4%	17.1%	5.6%	13.2%	8.0%	11.7%	14.1%
Strongly oppose	12.5%	14.2%	10.7%	16.8%	10.9%	8.5%	9.4%
Don't know / Not sure	15.1%	12.5%	17.8%	15.5%	15.5%	14.9%	12.5%

	Total	LAB	GRE	LIB	SOC AL	IND/OTH	UND
Strongly support	36.5%	33.5%	47.0%	17.7%	89.5%	40.0%	36.4%
Support	24.5%	31.2%	19.1%	19.0%	10.5%	30.0%	11.4%
Oppose	11.4%	11.8%	6.1%	19.0%	0.0%	3.3%	20.5%
Strongly oppose	12.5%	6.1%	24.3%	21.5%	0.0%	6.7%	11.4%
Don't know / Not sure	15.1%	17.5%	3.5%	22.8%	0.0%	20.0%	20.5%





Methodology Disclosure Statement - Short

Short Methodology Statement	
Short Wethodology Statement	
Research conducted by	uCommunications Pty Ltd – T/A uComms
Commissioned by	The Australia Institute
End client	The Australia Institute
Fieldwork dates	17 th – 18 th March 2025
Mode of data collection	Automated telephone poll and SMS
Target population	Australian eligible voters
Sample size	860
Australian Polling Council (APC) Compliant	Yes
URL of Long Methodology Disclosure Statement	https://ucommsapc.info/
Voter intention published	Yes

Methodology Disclosure Statement - Long

Long Methodology Statement	
Effective sample size after weighting applied	793
Margin of Error associated with effective sample size	+/-3.33%
Variables used in weighting	Population distribution (Age x Gender)
Weighting method used	Raked weighting
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	See within attached
Proportion of landline/mobile completed samples	86% Mobile 14% Landline
Source of Sample	Households selected at random within the Federal seat of Sydney
Positioning of voter intention questions within survey	First question after call and SMS intro
Undecided voter handling	Respondents who answered "Undecided" were then asked a leaning question
2pp calculation method	Q1b