



Publication date: 30th June 2025

Short Methodology Statement

Research company	YouGov Galaxy Pty Ltd
Client commissioning the research	Australia Institute
Fieldwork dates	12th June – 16th June 2025
Mode of data collection	Online recruited from research panel (100%)
Target population	Tasmanians eligible to vote
Sample size	842
Australian Polling Council compliant	Yes
URL of Long Methodology statement	https://australiainstitute.org.au/australian-polling-council-long-methodology-disclosure-statements/
Is voting intention published?	No

Long Methodology Statement

Effective sample size after weighting applied	544
Margin of error associated with effective sample size	±4.2
Quotas and weighting	Age, Gender, Education, AEC region, household income, 2025 Federal Election vote
Weighting method used	Rim weighting
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	Below for published questions
Source of online sample	Selected from previously recruited online panels of research participants using quotas based on weighting frame and past vote.
Positioning of voting intention questions in questionnaire?	After demographics
How were undecided voters handled?	Undecided respondents who answered “don’t know” were asked a leaner question which did not include a “don’t know” option.
2PP calculation method	NA



Full Question Text of Published Questions

Q2	To what extent to you agree or disagree with the following statement: <i>The Tasmanian Parliament should renegotiate with the AFL to avoid building a new stadium.</i>	Ask eligible voters
	<i>Single select, reverse</i>	
1.	Strongly agree	
2.	Agree	
3.	Disagree	
4.	Strongly disagree	
5.	Don't know/unsure	Fixed
Q3	To what extent to you agree or disagree with the following statement: <i>The AFL is treating Tasmania unfairly in its requirements for granting Tasmania a license to join the national competition</i>	Ask eligible voters
	<i>Single select, reverse</i>	
1.	Strongly agree	
2.	Agree	
3.	Disagree	
4.	Strongly disagree	
5.	Don't know/unsure	Fixed