



Publication date: 1st July 2025

Short Methodology Statement

Research company	YouGov Galaxy Pty Ltd
Client commissioning the research	Australia Institute
Fieldwork dates	12th June – 16th June 2025
Mode of data collection	Online recruited from research panel (100%)
Target population	Tasmanians eligible to vote
Sample size	842
Australian Polling Council compliant	Yes
URL of Long Methodology statement	https://australiainstitute.org.au/australian-polling-council-long-methodology-disclosure-statements/
Is voting intention published?	No

Long Methodology Statement

Effective sample size after weighting applied	544
Margin of error associated with effective sample size	±4.2
Quotas and weighting	Age, Gender, Education, AEC region, household income, 2025 Federal Election vote
Weighting method used	Rim weighting
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	Below for published questions
Source of online sample	Selected from previously recruited online panels of research participants using quotas based on weighting frame and past vote.
Positioning of voting intention questions in questionnaire?	After demographics
How were undecided voters handled?	Undecided respondents who answered “don’t know” were asked a leaner question which did not include a “don’t know” option.
2PP calculation method	NA



Full Question Text of Published Questions

Q	<p>Tasmanian independent economist Saul Eslake has called for salmon companies to pay royalties for salmon leases over public waters in much the same way that mining companies pay royalties.</p> <p>To what extent do you agree or disagree with this proposed policy?</p>	Ask eligible voters
	<i>Single select, reverse</i>	
	1. Strongly agree	
	2. Agree	
	3. Disagree	
	4. Strongly disagree	
	5. Don't know/unsure	Fixed
Q	<p>Tasmania's net debt for the 2024 financial year was \$3.5billion and forecast to grow. Economists have proposed several budgetary measures to raise revenue, fund services and reduce debt.</p> <p>Which of the following options do you think the government should prioritise?</p>	Ask eligible voters
	<i>Rank, randomise</i>	
	1. Seeking more federal funding	
	2. Replacing stamp duty with a land tax on primary residences	
	3. Increasing motor vehicle registration costs	
	4. Increasing mining royalties	
	5. None of these	Fixed