



FINAL RESULTS

When interpreting this document, please consider the following items:

- *For small sample ($n < 50$) – interpret with caution*
- *All figures have been weighted to be representative of Tasmanian voters (the target population).*
- *All results are based on percentages and have been rounded to 0 decimal places*
- *For the banner “2025 federal vote” & “Tasmanian election planned vote” all party names have been abbreviated as follows:*
 - *ALP – Labor*
 - *COA – Liberal / National Coalition*
 - *LIB – Liberal party*
 - *GRE – Greens*
 - *ON – Pauline Hanson’s One Nation*
 - *IND – Independent*
 - *Other – Other parties*

Methodology:

YouGov conducted a survey of 842 Tasmanian voters on behalf of The Australia Institute between 12 and 16 June 2025, using an online survey polling methodology. Full details are provided in the methodology statement. The poll is compliant with the Australian Polling Council’s requirements.

Key findings prepared by YouGov.

Short Methodology Statement	
Research company	YouGov Galaxy Pty Ltd
Client commissioning the research	Australia Institute
Fieldwork dates	12th June – 16th June 2025
Mode of data collection	Online recruited from research panel (100%)
Target population	Tasmanians eligible to vote
Sample size	842
Australian Polling Council compliant	Yes
URL of Long Methodology statement	https://australiainstitute.org.au/australian-polling-council-long-methodology-disclosure-statements/
Is voting intention published?	No



Question

Tasmanian independent economist Saul Eslake has called for salmon companies to pay royalties for salmon leases over public waters in much the same way that mining companies pay royalties. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this proposed policy? (single select)

Key findings – Nearly three in four (74%) of Tasmanian voters believe salmon companies should pay royalties for salmon leases over public waters. Only 14% of Tasmanian voters disagree with this statement.

Agreement with this statement is strongest among people aged between 35-49 (79%) and Males (78%).

Column %	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-49	50-64	65+
NET Agree (Strongly agree + agree)	74	78	71	74	70	79	70	77
NET Disagree (Strongly disagree + disagree)	14	17	12	10	19	12	16	16
Strongly agree	30	29	30	18	17	26	38	36
Agree	45	49	41	57	53	53	32	40
Disagree	10	12	9	5	16	10	8	14
Strongly disagree	4	5	3	5	3	1	8	2
Don't know/not sure	11	5	17	15	11	10	14	8
Column n	842	453	389	53	201	186	162	240

Question

Tasmania's net debt for the 2024 financial year was \$3.5billion and forecast to grow. Economists have proposed several budgetary measures to raise revenue, fund services and reduce debt. Which of the following options do you think the government should prioritise (rank)

Key findings – Over one in three (36%) of Tasmanian voters believe seeking more federal funding should be the prioritised as the first option to raise revenue and reduce debt for Tasmania. This belief is strongest among people aged between 18-24 (49%) and females (40%).

Increasing mining royalties was the second most selected option as ranked first in priorities (29%).

RANKED FIRST

Column %	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Seeking more federal funding	36	32	40	49	34	37	33	33
Increasing mining royalties	29	27	30	24	27	27	31	31
Replacing stamp duty with a land tax on primary residences	12	16	9	14	20	16	10	8
Increasing motor vehicle registration costs	11	14	8	10	14	13	8	12
None of these	12	10	13	3	5	6	19	16
Column n	842	453	389	53	201	186	162	240

RANKED FIRST + RANKED SECOND

Column %	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Seeking more federal funding	62	56	69	65	60	66	62	58
Increasing mining royalties	57	53	61	60	51	52	59	60
Replacing stamp duty with a land tax on primary residences	35	40	31	48	47	40	26	29
Increasing motor vehicle registration costs	22	31	14	21	31	30	15	20
None of these	12	10	13	3	5	6	19	16
Column n	842	453	389	53	201	186	162	240